



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/801,749	03/15/2004	Richard Gouley	GOUR101	3438

7590 10/07/2004

DEREK H. MAUGHAN
DYKAS, SHAVER & NIPPER, LLP
PO BOX 877
BOISE, ID 83701-0877

EXAMINER

WUJCIAK, ALFRED J

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3632

DATE MAILED: 10/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/801,749	GOULEY, RICHARD	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alfred Joseph Wujciak III	3632	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/15/04.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 15 March 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Art Unit: 3632

DETAILED ACTION

This is the first Office Action for the serial number 10/801,749, SUPPORT POLE ATTACHMENT COLLAR, filed on 3/15/04.

Information Disclosure Statement

The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Claim Objections

Claim 7 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 7, line 2, "flanges" should be changed to ---projections--- for clarification. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 5 and 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 5, line 1, "flared collar" is indefinite and should be ---projections--- because projections have the ability of engaging and hold a piece of non-rigid material against the surface while the flared collar is fixed on the pole and supports the projections.

Claims 8-9 recite the limitation "said flared collar compression device" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 5-6, 8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent # 4,101,036 to Craig.

Craig teaches a system (figures 1-2) having a pole (27) with a compressible head (the smallest circle adjacent to element # 54 in figure 6) and a surface contacting device (16) comprising a flared collar (42) extending from a portion of the pole. The flared collar having a plurality of projections (44-46) extending therefrom. The projections configured to circumvolve

Art Unit: 3632

the head and engage a portion of the surface when the projections are pushed against the surface. The flared collar is positioned closer to a first end of the pole than the head when the head is in an uncompressed position. The flared collar is made of a flexible material (51 and plastic material, col. 1, line 60) whereby the projections bend outward from the collar when the pole is pushed against the surface. The projection comprises a portion (49). The flared collar is generally coronate shaped piece of flexible material (plastic, col. 1, line 60) connected around a pole in a position lower than the head when the head is in an uncompressed position. The flared collar is permanently connected to the pole. The pole having first and second ends. The head (the smallest circle adjacent to element # 54 in figure 6) is coupled to a compressive mechanism (33,41) near the first end of the pole. The head has a generally coronate shaped collar (54, figure 6) surrounding the head.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Craig in view of US Patent # 4,111,408 to Love.

In regards to claim 4, Craig teaches the head but fails to teach the head having a covering. Love teaches the head (22) having a covering (26). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have added the covering to Craig's

Art Unit: 3632

head as taught by Love to prevent the head from making a dent in the surface when it is being in compressed position.

In regards to claim 7, Craig teaches 3 projections but fails to teach at least 6 projections. Love teaches at least 6 projections (25). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have added 3 more projections to Craig's projections as taught by Love to provide additional support for the system to remain upright and fixed on both surface when it is in compressed position.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Craig.

Craig teaches the flared collar but fails to teach the flared collar is removably connected to the pole however in figure 4 of Craig's alternative invention shows that the collar (92) is removably connected to the pole. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Craig's flared collar with collar from figure 4 to provide a convenience for storing the system with removed projections.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

US Patent # 561,210 to Downey

US Patent # 743,758 to Schrader

Downey and Schrader teach pole having first and second ends mounted on surfaces and having projections extending outwardly of pole.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alfred Joseph Wujciak III whose telephone number is (703) 306-5994. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leslie A Braun can be reached on 703 308 2156. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alfred Joseph Wujciak III
Examiner
Art Unit 3632



9/24/04